

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

Claims 7-22 are allowed.

The objected to claim 1 has been amended to overcome the objection.

Claims 1-6 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Giethoorn (6,118,859) in view of Smitch (6,226,367) as in the previous Office Action.

In the Office Action the Giethoorn col. 4, lines 23-44 are pointed out as showing applicant's claimed controller and Smith col. 10, lines 40-55 to show a message.

It is submitted that this is different from the claimed invention. Neither reference describes an image with regard to a frequency of communication and displayed when the signal is received.

First Giethoorn describes the table but the table is not shown when said communication terminal receives said signal. Giethoorn only describes looking at the table at a by using some other functions (col. 3) and nothing related to when a signal is received.

Second Giethoorn describes that unanswered calls are logged. There is no description of a frequency of communication with a calling party. There is a difference between logging unanswered calls and a frequency of communication.

Giethoorn states in col. 3 lines 12-15 "The logging table will be filled if the called subscriber is absent." Col. 4, lines 22-25 states "order of counted most frequently calling parties having unanswered calls." There is no teaching of frequency of communication with a calling party nor is there a description of displaying an image when a signal is received.

In fact Geithoorn teaches away from applicant's claimed invention because if the subscriber is present there is no tracking the frequency of calls. Geithoorn teaches unanswered calls, whereas applicant is reciting call frequency.


With regard to Smith there is no description with regard to an image relating to the frequency of communication. Smith does describe an image relating to the calling party but there is no suggestion with regard to the frequency of communication or an image indicating such a frequency of communication.

Thus neither reference shows the features of applicant's claimed invention. The combination fails to reasonably suggest the recited features and in fact Geithoorn suggests tracking only unanswered calls.

In view of the remarks set forth above, this application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested. However, if for any reason the Examiner should consider this application not to be in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to issuing a further Action.

Any fee due with this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Brian S. Myers  
Reg. No. 46,947

CUSTOMER NUMBER 026304  
Telephone: (212) 940-8703  
Fax: (212) 940-8986 or 8987  
Docket No.: FUJ 17.873 (100794-11526)  
BSM:fd